

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,507	03/09/2004	Ki Hwan Park	SAM-0526	1952
7590 02/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Anthony P. Onello, Jr.			EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
MILLS & ONE	ELLO LLP			
Suite 605			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eleven Beacon Street			1746	
Boston, MA (02108		_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/796,507	PARK ET AL.	
Oi	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746	
	MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress
Period for Rep				
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period for Failure to replication Any reply received.	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIES LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory py within the set or extended period for reply will, by selved by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMM FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6 statute, cause the application to become	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ This a 3)⊡ Since	this application is in condition for all	This action is non-final. owance except for formal		ne merits is
ciosed	d in accordance with the practice und	ier <i>Ex paπe Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of	Claims			
4a) Of 5)∭ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)∭ Claim	 (s) 26-38 is/are pending in the application the above claim(s) is/are with (s) is/are allowed. (s) 26-38 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction and 	ndrawn from consideration		
Application Pa	pers			
	pecification is objected to by the Exar	miner		
10)⊠ The dr Applica Replac	awing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/a ant may not request that any objection to cement drawing sheet(s) including the coath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ the drawing(s) be held in ab rrection is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under :	35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	wledgment is made of a claim for for b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have b reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this Nationa	l Stage
	erences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interv	riew Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Drag Notice of Drag	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE //ail Date) Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/796,507

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment and remarks filed on 12/05/05 have been acknowledged and entered.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant affirms the election of Group II, claims 26-38 has been acknowledged.

Specification

The objection to the abstract and the title stated in paper No. 090605 has been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

Drawings

The drawings filed on 03/09/04 have been accepted by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elsawy et al. (6,328,809).

Elsawy et al. disclose a method of treating and drying a surface of a semiconductor substrate. The reference discloses providing a first supply of drying fluid, a second supply of drying fluid, storing a supply of decontaminating fluid in a decontaminating fluid tank, and supply the first supply of drying fluid and the supply of decontaminating fluid to process chamber to decontaminate semiconductor wafer. The

Application/Control Number: 10/796,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

reference discloses the drying fluid is nitrogen gas, the decontaminated fluid (alcohol), the treating step, rinsing, and rapidly draining the rinsing fluid from the process chamber, The DIW, and the drying step as claimed. See the claims, Figs. 2-3, 4A. Elsawy discloses all limitation with the exception of the rate of supply of the second supply of drying fluid and the rate of supply of the first supply of drying fluid, and the simultaneously supplying the first supply of the drying fluid and the combination of the second supply of the drying fluid and decontaminating fluid to the process chamber as claimed.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to adjust the rate of supply of the first drying fluid and the second drying fluid to obtain optimum results. One skilled in the art would supply the decontaminating fluid and the drying fluid simultaneously to improve the cleaning or processing steps, because the decontaminated fluid would clean the surface and the drying fluid will volatilize the condensed decontaminated fluid remaining on the surface of the wafers simultaneously, which reduce the processing time and therefore increase the process efficiency. See col. 5, lines 38-43. Re claim 35, it is well known in the art to discharge the rinsing fluid to a tank (72). See Fig. 4A, and col. 13, lines 57-60.

This rejection stated in paper No. 090605 is maintained.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/05/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument with respect to Elsawy does not teach the first controlled rate of supply and the second controlled rate of supply, and the step of

Application/Control Number: 10/796,507

Art Unit: 1746

simultaneously supplying-----, is unpersuasive, because one skilled in the art would adjust the rate of supply to obtain optimum results. One skilled in the art would supply the decontaminating fluid and the drying fluid simultaneously to improve the cleaning or processing steps, because the decontaminated fluid would clean the surface and the drying fluid will volatilize the condensed decontaminated fluid remaining on the surface of the wafers simultaneously, which reduce the processing time and therefore increase the process efficiency. See col. 5, lines 38-43.

Page 4

2. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the ratio of nitrogen gas to IPA gas in the process chamber is a critical factor during the IPA decontamination step) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1746

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571)

272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zeinal Planini

Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746

ZEE 02/14/06